

STATS 369 Homework 3

Exercise 1.9

(a) We first compute

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbb{E}[H(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_1)H(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_2)] &= \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{k=1}^M c_k \langle b\mathbf{W}^{(k)}, \boldsymbol{\sigma}^{\otimes k} \rangle \sum_{j=1}^M c_j \langle b\mathbf{W}^{(j)}, \boldsymbol{\sigma}^{\otimes j} \rangle\right] \\
 &= \sum_{k=1}^M c_k^2 b^2 \mathbb{E}\left[\langle \mathbf{W}^{(k)}, \boldsymbol{\sigma}_1^{\otimes k} \rangle \langle \mathbf{W}^{(k)}, \boldsymbol{\sigma}_2^{\otimes k} \rangle\right] \\
 &= \sum_{k=1}^M c_k^2 b^2 \frac{1}{k!n} \mathbb{E}\left[\left\langle \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{G}_k} \mathbf{G}^\pi, \boldsymbol{\sigma}_1^{\otimes k} \right\rangle \left\langle \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{G}_k} \mathbf{G}^\pi, \boldsymbol{\sigma}_2^{\otimes k} \right\rangle\right] \\
 &= \sum_{k=1}^M c_k^2 b^2 \frac{k!}{n} \mathbb{E}\left[\langle \mathbf{G}, \boldsymbol{\sigma}_1^{\otimes k} \rangle \langle \mathbf{G}, \boldsymbol{\sigma}_2^{\otimes k} \rangle\right] \\
 &= \sum_{k=1}^M c_k^2 b^2 \frac{k!}{n} \langle \boldsymbol{\sigma}_1, \boldsymbol{\sigma}_2 \rangle^k.
 \end{aligned}$$

So $n\xi(\langle \boldsymbol{\sigma}_1, \boldsymbol{\sigma}_2 \rangle) = \sum_{k=1}^M c_k^2 b^2 \frac{k!}{n} \langle \boldsymbol{\sigma}_1, \boldsymbol{\sigma}_2 \rangle^k$. Thus, we have

$$\xi(x) = \sum_{k=1}^M c_k^2 k! x^k$$

For the model in the chapter with $\lambda = 0$, the Hamiltonian does not depend on $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$. It corresponds to $\xi = 0$ in this exercise.

(b) By part (a), we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
 Z_n &= \int_{\mathcal{S}^{n-1}} \exp\left[n \sum_{k=1}^M c_k \langle \mathbf{W}^{(k)}, \boldsymbol{\sigma}^{\otimes k} \rangle\right] \nu_0(d\boldsymbol{\sigma}) \\
 &= \int_{\mathcal{S}^{n-1}} \exp\left[n \sum_{k=1}^M c_k \frac{1}{\sqrt{k!n}} \left\langle \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{G}_n} \mathbf{G}^{(k),\pi}, \boldsymbol{\sigma}^{\otimes k} \right\rangle\right] \nu_0(d\boldsymbol{\sigma})
 \end{aligned}$$

Using Theorem 13, we only need to prove that $\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \log Z_n$ is a Lipschitz function of $\mathbf{G}^{(k)}$. Taking the gradient of $\log Z_n$ w.r.t. $\mathbf{G}^{(k)}$ gives

$$\nabla_{\mathbf{W}^{(k)}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \log Z_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \frac{\int_{\mathcal{S}^{n-1}} \exp \left[n \sum_{k=1}^M c_k \langle \mathbf{W}^{(k)}, \boldsymbol{\sigma}^{\otimes k} \rangle \right] \nu_0(d\boldsymbol{\sigma}) \sqrt{n} c_k \boldsymbol{\sigma}^{\otimes k} \nu_0(d\boldsymbol{\sigma})}{\int_{\mathcal{S}^{n-1}} \exp \left[n \sum_{k=1}^M c_k \langle \mathbf{W}^{(k)}, \boldsymbol{\sigma}^{\otimes k} \rangle \right] \nu_0(d\boldsymbol{\sigma})}.$$

Since $\|\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{\otimes k}\|_F^2 \leq 1$ when $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \in \mathcal{S}^{n-1}$, we have

$$\|\nabla_{\mathbf{W}^{(k)}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \log Z_n\|_F \leq c_k$$

Thus, $n^{-1/2} \log Z_n$ is Lipschitz continuous in all the $\mathbf{G}^{(k)}$ with Lipschitz constant $\sum_{k=1}^M c_k$.

(c) We start by computing

$$\mathbb{E} [Z_n^r] = \mathbb{E} \int_{(\mathcal{S}^{n-1})^r} \exp \left[n \sum_{k=1}^M c_k \langle \mathbf{W}^{(k)}, \sum_{a=1}^r \boldsymbol{\sigma}_a^{\otimes k} \rangle \right] \nu_{0,r}(d\boldsymbol{\sigma}).$$

Here we denote $\boldsymbol{\sigma} = (\boldsymbol{\sigma}_1, \dots, \boldsymbol{\sigma}_r)$ and $\nu_{0,1} = \nu_0 \times \dots \times \nu_0$. By (1.2.5-6), we have

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\exp \left(n c_k \langle \mathbf{W}^{(k)}, \sum_{a=1}^r \boldsymbol{\sigma}_a^{\otimes k} \rangle \right) \right] = \exp \left(\frac{n c_k^2 k!}{2} \sum_{a,b=1}^r \langle \boldsymbol{\sigma}_a, \boldsymbol{\sigma}_b \rangle^k \right).$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} [Z_n^r] &= \int_{(\mathcal{S}^{n-1})^r} \exp \left(\sum_{k=1}^M \frac{n c_k^2 k!}{2} \sum_{a,b=1}^r \langle \boldsymbol{\sigma}_a, \boldsymbol{\sigma}_b \rangle^k \right) \nu_{0,r}(d\boldsymbol{\sigma}) \\ &= \int_{(\mathcal{S}^{n-1})^r} \exp \left(\sum_{k=1}^M \frac{n c_k^2 k!}{2} \sum_{a,b=1}^r \hat{Q}_{a,b}^k \right) \nu_{0,r}(d\boldsymbol{\sigma}) \\ &= \int \exp \left(\sum_{k=1}^M \frac{n c_k^2 k!}{2} \sum_{a,b=1}^r Q_{a,b}^k \right) \nu_{0,r}(d\boldsymbol{\sigma}) f_{n,r-1}(\mathbf{Q}) d\mathbf{Q} \\ &\doteq \exp(n \sup_{\mathbf{Q}} S(\mathbf{Q})), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$S(\mathbf{Q}) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^M c_k k! \sum_{a,b=1}^r Q_{a,b}^k + \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \log(\mathbf{Q}).$$

Under the 1RSB ansatz with block size m , we have

$$\sum_{a,b=1}^r Q_{a,b}^k = r + q_1^k m(m-1) \frac{r}{m} + q_0^k (r^2 - m^2 \frac{r}{m}),$$

and

$$\text{tr log}(\mathbf{Q}) = \log(1 + (m-1)q_1 + (r-m)q_0) + \left(\frac{r}{m} - 1\right) \log(1 - q_1 + m(q_1 - q_0)) + \frac{r}{m}(m-1) \log(1 - q_1).$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_{\text{1RSB}} = \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{r} S(Q) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^M c_k k! (1 - (1-m)q_1^k - mq_0^k) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{q_0}{1 - (1-m)q_1 - mq_0} + \\ &\quad \frac{1}{2m} \log(1 - (1-m)q_1 - mq_0) - \frac{1-m}{2m} \log(1 - q_1). \end{aligned}$$

The derivatives w.r.t. q_0 is

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial q_0} &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^M c_k k! (-mkq_0^{k-1}) + \frac{mq_0}{2(1 - (1-m)q_1 - mq_0)^2} \\ &= -\frac{m}{2} (\xi'(q_0) - \frac{q_0}{(1 - (1-m)q_1 - mq_0)^2}). \end{aligned}$$

So q_0 should satisfy

$$\xi'(q_0) = \frac{q_0}{(1 - (1-m)q_1 - mq_0)^2}.$$

The derivative w.r.t. q_1 is

$$\frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial q_1} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^M c_k k! (-(1-m)kq_1^{k-1}) + \frac{q_0(1-m)}{2(1 - (1-m)q_1 - mq_0)^2} + \frac{1-m}{2} \frac{q_1 - q_0}{(1 - (1-m)q_1 - mq_0)(1 - q_1)}.$$

So q_1 should satisfy

$$\xi'(q_1) = \frac{q_0}{(1 - (1-m)q_1 - mq_0)^2} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{q_1 - q_0}{(1 - (1-m)q_1 - mq_0)(1 - q_1)}.$$